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This way you can determine the number of zeros in the end of a subarray in $\mathcal{O}(1)$.

- Complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n+q)$
- Problem: Calculate the value of the implication $a_{l} \rightarrow a_{l+1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow a_{r}$ for many subarrays.
- Naive solution: Go through the whole subarray to calculate the result. Runs in $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot q)$, too slow!
- Observation: When the right argument of an implication is 1 , the result is always equal to 1 . So, we can look only at the last 1 in the subarray and the following zeros.
$a_{l} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \underbrace{0 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow 0}_{k \text { zeros }}$
If $k$ is even then the result equals 1 .
If $k$ is odd then the result equals 0 .
- Solution: For each position precompute the index of the last 1 appearing not after it.

This way you can determine the number of zeros in the end of a subarray in $\mathcal{O}(1)$.

- Complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n+q)$

Statistics: 76 submissions, 3 accepted, 33 unknown

- Problem: Escape from a $w \times h$ grid jail where you can go up only if you have a ladder. Ladders can be carried to a different place on the same storey.
- Problem: Escape from a $w \times h$ grid jail where you can go up only if you have a ladder. Ladders can be carried to a different place on the same storey.
- Observation: If we know to which holes a ladder can be carried, then for each cell, we know which cell we can move to.
- Problem: Escape from a $w \times h$ grid jail where you can go up only if you have a ladder. Ladders can be carried to a different place on the same storey.
- Observation: If we know to which holes a ladder can be carried, then for each cell, we know which cell we can move to.
- Solution:
- Using a for loop in both directions, determine which cells can access a ladder.
- Problem: Escape from a $w \times h$ grid jail where you can go up only if you have a ladder. Ladders can be carried to a different place on the same storey.
- Observation: If we know to which holes a ladder can be carried, then for each cell, we know which cell we can move to.
- Solution:
- Using a for loop in both directions, determine which cells can access a ladder.
- Then we know for each cell to which cell we can move.
- Hence, we can define a graph representing the grid.
- Problem: Escape from a $w \times h$ grid jail where you can go up only if you have a ladder. Ladders can be carried to a different place on the same storey.
- Observation: If we know to which holes a ladder can be carried, then for each cell, we know which cell we can move to.
- Solution:
- Using a for loop in both directions, determine which cells can access a ladder.
- Then we know for each cell to which cell we can move.
- Hence, we can define a graph representing the grid.
- Determining whether a path exists from the starting cell to an exit can be done using $\mathcal{O}(w h)$ BFS/DFS.
- Problem: Escape from a $w \times h$ grid jail where you can go up only if you have a ladder. Ladders can be carried to a different place on the same storey.
- Observation: If we know to which holes a ladder can be carried, then for each cell, we know which cell we can move to.
- Solution:
- Using a for loop in both directions, determine which cells can access a ladder.
- Then we know for each cell to which cell we can move.
- Hence, we can define a graph representing the grid.
- Determining whether a path exists from the starting cell to an exit can be done using $\mathcal{O}(w h)$ BFS/DFS.

Statistics: 18 submissions, 0 accepted, 16 unknown

Problem Author: Leon van der Waal

- Problem: A kangeroo jumps from $x$ to $x+x(x-1)=x^{2}$ in one step. How many steps until it reaches 1 ?
- Problem: A kangeroo jumps from $x$ to $x+x(x-1)=x^{2}$ in one step. How many steps until it reaches 1?
- Notice that when a kangeroo jumps over the $n$-th segment, it jumps to $x^{2} \bmod n$.
- Problem: A kangeroo jumps from $x$ to $x+x(x-1)=x^{2}$ in one step. How many steps until it reaches 1?
- Notice that when a kangeroo jumps over the $n$-th segment, it jumps to $x^{2} \bmod n$.
- So after $i$ jumps, the kangeroo is in segment $x^{2^{i}} \bmod n$.
- Problem: A kangeroo jumps from $x$ to $x+x(x-1)=x^{2}$ in one step. How many steps until it reaches 1?
- Notice that when a kangeroo jumps over the $n$-th segment, it jumps to $x^{2} \bmod n$.
- So after $i$ jumps, the kangeroo is in segment $x^{2^{i}} \bmod n$.
- Therefore we need to determine the first $i$ such that $x^{2^{i}} \equiv 1 \bmod n$.
- Problem: Determine the first $i$ such that $x^{2^{i}} \equiv 1 \bmod n$.
- Problem: Determine the first $i$ such that $x^{2^{i}} \equiv 1 \bmod n$.
- Observation: If $\operatorname{gcd}(x, n) \neq 1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(x, n) \mid x^{2^{i}} \bmod n$, so the kangeroo will never reach 1 .
- Problem: Determine the first $i$ such that $x^{2^{i}} \equiv 1 \bmod n$.
- Observation: If $\operatorname{gcd}(x, n) \neq 1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(x, n) \mid x^{2^{i}} \bmod n$, so the kangeroo will never reach 1 .
- Otherwise, $x^{r} \equiv 1 \bmod n$ for some $r$. We call the smallest such $r$ the order of $x \bmod n$.
- Problem: Determine the first $i$ such that $x^{2^{i}} \equiv 1 \bmod n$.
- Observation: If $\operatorname{gcd}(x, n) \neq 1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(x, n) \mid x^{2^{i}} \bmod n$, so the kangeroo will never reach 1 .
- Otherwise, $x^{r} \equiv 1 \bmod n$ for some $r$. We call the smallest such $r$ the order of $x \bmod n$.
- Notice that the powers of $x$ repeat every $r$-th power:

$$
1, x, x^{2}, x^{3}, \ldots, x^{r-1}, x^{r}=1, x^{r+1}=x, x^{2}, x^{3}, \ldots
$$

- Problem: What is the first $i$ such that $x^{2^{i}} \equiv 1 \bmod n$.
- Therefore, any $i$ that satisfies $x^{2^{i}} \equiv 1 \bmod n$ also satisfies $2^{i} \equiv 0 \bmod r$.
- Problem: What is the first $i$ such that $x^{2^{i}} \equiv 1 \bmod n$.
- Therefore, any $i$ that satisfies $x^{2^{i}} \equiv 1 \bmod n$ also satisfies $2^{i} \equiv 0 \bmod r$.
- Observation: This means that $r$ is a divisor of $2^{i}$, and thus $r=2^{k}$ for some $k$.
- Problem: What is the first $i$ such that $x^{2^{i}} \equiv 1 \bmod n$.
- Therefore, any $i$ that satisfies $x^{2^{i}} \equiv 1 \bmod n$ also satisfies $2^{i} \equiv 0 \bmod r$.
- Observation: This means that $r$ is a divisor of $2^{i}$, and thus $r=2^{k}$ for some $k$.
- Therefore, the answer to the problem is $k$.
- Problem: What is the first $i$ such that $x^{2^{i}} \equiv 1 \bmod n$.
- Therefore, any $i$ that satisfies $x^{2^{i}} \equiv 1 \bmod n$ also satisfies $2^{i} \equiv 0 \bmod r$.
- Observation: This means that $r$ is a divisor of $2^{i}$, and thus $r=2^{k}$ for some $k$.
- Therefore, the answer to the problem is $k$.
- Observation: $r \leq n$, so $k \leq \log _{2}(n)$
- Problem: What is the first $i$ such that $x^{2^{i}} \equiv 1 \bmod n$.
- Observation: $r \leq n$, so $k \leq \log _{2}(n)$
- Problem: What is the first $i$ such that $x^{2^{i}} \equiv 1 \bmod n$.
- Observation: $r \leq n$, so $k \leq \log _{2}(n)$
- Solution: It therefore suffices to check the first $\log _{2}(n)<60$ jumps. If the kangeroo has not reached segment 1 by then, it never will.
- Problem: What is the first $i$ such that $x^{2^{i}} \equiv 1 \bmod n$.
- Observation: $r \leq n$, so $k \leq \log _{2}(n)$
- Solution: It therefore suffices to check the first $\log _{2}(n)<60$ jumps. If the kangeroo has not reached segment 1 by then, it never will.
- Complexity: $\mathcal{O}(q \log n)$
- Problem: What is the first $i$ such that $x^{2^{i}} \equiv 1 \bmod n$.
- Observation: $r \leq n$, so $k \leq \log _{2}(n)$
- Solution: It therefore suffices to check the first $\log _{2}(n)<60$ jumps. If the kangeroo has not reached segment 1 by then, it never will.
- Complexity: $\mathcal{O}(q \log n)$

Statistics: 49 submissions, 0 accepted, 29 unknown

Want to solve the problems you could not finish? Or have friends that like to solve algorithmic problems?
https://fpcs2024.bapc.eu/

Friday 10 May 2024 13:00-17:00
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## Random facts

## Jury work
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- 357 secret test cases (last year: 339)
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## Random facts

## Jury work

- 447 commits (last year: 361)
- 357 secret test cases (last year: 339)
- 120 accepted jury/proofreader solutions (last year: 96)
- The minimum ${ }^{1}$ number of lines the jury needed to solve all problems is

$$
2+1+11+1+5+1+22+5+3+11+4=66
$$

On average 6.0 lines per problem, down from 6.4 last year

[^3]- Arnoud van der Leer (TU Delft)
- Daniel Cortild (RU Groningen)
- Davina van Meer (Delft)
- Henk van der Laan (TU Eindhoven)
- Matei Tinca (VU Amsterdam, Q)
- Michael Vasseur
(VU Amsterdam / DOMjudge)
- Mylène Martodihardjo (VU Amsterdam)
- Nicky Gerritsen
(TU Eindhoven / DOMjudge)
- Pavel Kunyavskiy
(JetBrains Amsterdam, Kotlin Hero Q)
- Ragnar Groot Koerkamp
(ETH Zürich / NWERC jury)
- Rick Wouters (TU Eindhoven)
- Sièna van Schaick (Radboud Nijmegen)
- Thomas Verwoerd
(TU Delft, 区Kotlin Hero Q)
- Yoshi van den Akker (TU Delft)

Thanks to the Jury for the
Freshmen Programming Contests:

- Angel Karchev (TU Delft)
- Ivan Bliznets (RU Groningen)
- Jeroen Op de Beek (TU Delft)
- Leon van der Waal (TU Delft)
- Maarten Sijm (TU Delft)
- Maciek Sidor (VU Amsterdam)
- Makar Kuleshov (TU Delft)
- Mansur Nurmukhambetov (RU Groningen)
- Tymon Cichocki (TU Delft)
- Veselin Mitev (TU Delft)
- Vitor Greati (RU Groningen)
- Wietze Koops (Radboud Nijmegen / RU Groningen)
- Wiktor Cupiał (TU Delft)
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