# **Freshmen Programming Contests 2024**

Solutions presentation

By the Freshmen Programming Contests 2024 jury for:

- AAPJE in Amsterdam
- FPC in Delft
- FYPC in Eindhoven
- GAPC in Groningen

May 4, 2024



Problem Author: Maciek Sidor

• **Problem:** Given *n* words, find a pair such that after their common prefix is removed, the sum of lengths of the two resulting words is the greatest.

Problem Author: Maciek Sidor

• **Problem:** Given *n* words, find a pair such that after their common prefix is removed, the sum of lengths of the two resulting words is the greatest.

• Naive solution: Check every pair. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ , too slow.

- **Problem:** Given *n* words, find a pair such that after their common prefix is removed, the sum of lengths of the two resulting words is the greatest.
- Naive solution: Check every pair. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ , too slow.
- Claim: For a given pair s, t and a third word v such that |v| ≥ max(|s|, |t|), we can always replace one of the words and the score will not decrease.

Problem Author: Maciek Sidor

• Claim: For a given pair s, t and a third word v such that  $|v| \ge \max(|s|, |t|)$ , we can always replace one of the words and the score will not decrease.

- Claim: For a given pair s, t and a third word v such that |v| ≥ max(|s|, |t|), we can always replace one of the words and the score will not decrease.
- **Proof:** Denote the common prefix of s, t as p(s, t) and let g(s, t) = |s| + |t| 2|p(s, t)| be our goodness function.

- Claim: For a given pair s, t and a third word v such that |v| ≥ max(|s|, |t|), we can always replace one of the words and the score will not decrease.
- **Proof:** Denote the common prefix of s, t as p(s, t) and let g(s, t) = |s| + |t| 2|p(s, t)| be our goodness function.
- Suppose g(s, t) > g(s, v) and g(s, t) > g(v, t). Then:

Problem Author: Maciek Sidor

- Claim: For a given pair s, t and a third word v such that |v| ≥ max(|s|, |t|), we can always replace one of the words and the score will not decrease.
- **Proof:** Denote the common prefix of s, t as p(s, t) and let g(s, t) = |s| + |t| 2|p(s, t)| be our goodness function.
- Suppose g(s, t) > g(s, v) and g(s, t) > g(v, t). Then:

|s| + |t| - 2|p(s,t)| > |s| + |v| - 2|p(s,v)|

Problem Author: Maciek Sidor

- Claim: For a given pair s, t and a third word v such that |v| ≥ max(|s|, |t|), we can always replace one of the words and the score will not decrease.
- **Proof:** Denote the common prefix of s, t as p(s, t) and let g(s, t) = |s| + |t| 2|p(s, t)| be our goodness function.
- Suppose g(s, t) > g(s, v) and g(s, t) > g(v, t). Then:

ert s ert + ert t ert - 2ert p(s,t) ert > ert s ert + ert v ert - 2ert p(s,v) ertert t ert - 2ert p(s,t) ert > ert v ert - 2ert p(s,v) ert

- Claim: For a given pair s, t and a third word v such that |v| ≥ max(|s|, |t|), we can always replace one of the words and the score will not decrease.
- **Proof:** Denote the common prefix of s, t as p(s, t) and let g(s, t) = |s| + |t| 2|p(s, t)| be our goodness function.
- Suppose g(s, t) > g(s, v) and g(s, t) > g(v, t). Then:

$$egin{aligned} |s|+|t|-2|p(s,t)| &> |s|+|v|-2|p(s,v)|\ &|t|-2|p(s,t)| > |v|-2|p(s,v)|\ &|p(s,v)| > |p(s,t)| \end{aligned}$$

Problem Author: Maciek Sidor

- Claim: For a given pair s, t and a third word v such that |v| ≥ max(|s|, |t|), we can always replace one of the words and the score will not decrease.
- **Proof:** Denote the common prefix of s, t as p(s, t) and let g(s, t) = |s| + |t| 2|p(s, t)| be our goodness function.
- Suppose g(s, t) > g(s, v) and g(s, t) > g(v, t). Then:

$$egin{aligned} |s|+|t|-2|p(s,t)| &> |s|+|v|-2|p(s,v)| \ &|t|-2|p(s,t)| > |v|-2|p(s,v)| \ &|p(s,v)| > |p(s,t)| \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, |p(v, t)| > |p(s, t)|, but these two together give us a contradiction. □

Problem Author: Maciek Sidor

• Claim: For a given pair s, t and a third word v such that  $|v| \ge \max(|s|, |t|)$ , we can always replace one of the words and the score will not decrease.

Problem Author: Maciek Sidor

Claim: For a given pair s, t and a third word v such that |v| ≥ max(|s|, |t|), we can always replace one of the words and the score will not decrease.

• Therefore: Any word of maximum length is part of a valid solution.

- Claim: For a given pair s, t and a third word v such that |v| ≥ max(|s|, |t|), we can always replace one of the words and the score will not decrease.
- Therefore: Any word of maximum length is part of a valid solution.
- **Solution:** Pick any word of maximum length and check it with every other word, take the maximum result.

- Claim: For a given pair s, t and a third word v such that |v| ≥ max(|s|, |t|), we can always replace one of the words and the score will not decrease.
- Therefore: Any word of maximum length is part of a valid solution.
- **Solution:** Pick any word of maximum length and check it with every other word, take the maximum result.
- **Complexity:**  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ .

- Claim: For a given pair s, t and a third word v such that |v| ≥ max(|s|, |t|), we can always replace one of the words and the score will not decrease.
- Therefore: Any word of maximum length is part of a valid solution.
- **Solution:** Pick any word of maximum length and check it with every other word, take the maximum result.
- **Complexity:**  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ .
- Note: Can also be solved using a trie (also known as a prefix tree).

Problem Author: Maciek Sidor

- Claim: For a given pair s, t and a third word v such that |v| ≥ max(|s|, |t|), we can always replace one of the words and the score will not decrease.
- Therefore: Any word of maximum length is part of a valid solution.
- **Solution:** Pick any word of maximum length and check it with every other word, take the maximum result.
- **Complexity:**  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ .
- Note: Can also be solved using a trie (also known as a prefix tree).

Statistics: 23 submissions, 1 accepted, 6 unknown



...

• **Observation:** The pyramid consists of *n* equilateral triangles. The number of spheres in triangle *t* is  $T(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} i$ .

- **Observation:** The pyramid consists of *n* equilateral triangles. The number of spheres in triangle *t* is  $T(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} i$ .
- Slow solution: Calculate  $P(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T(i)$ . Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ , too slow.

- **Observation:** The pyramid consists of *n* equilateral triangles. The number of spheres in triangle *t* is  $T(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} i$ .
- Slow solution: Calculate  $P(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T(i)$ . Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ , too slow.
- Solution: Simplify  $T(t) = \frac{t \cdot (t+1)}{2}$ . Now calculating P(n) runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ , accepted!

- **Observation:** The pyramid consists of *n* equilateral triangles. The number of spheres in triangle *t* is  $T(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} i$ .
- Slow solution: Calculate  $P(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T(i)$ . Runs in  $O(n^2)$ , too slow.
- Solution: Simplify  $T(t) = \frac{t \cdot (t+1)}{2}$ . Now calculating P(n) runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ , accepted!
- **Pitfall:** If t is an int,  $t \cdot (t+1)$  overflows. Use 64-bit integers!

- **Observation:** The pyramid consists of *n* equilateral triangles. The number of spheres in triangle *t* is  $T(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} i$ .
- Slow solution: Calculate  $P(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T(i)$ . Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ , too slow.
- Solution: Simplify  $T(t) = \frac{t \cdot (t+1)}{2}$ . Now calculating P(n) runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ , accepted!
- Pitfall: If t is an int,  $t \cdot (t+1)$  overflows. Use 64-bit integers!
- Challenge: The calculation of P(n) can even be simplified to run in O(1).

- **Observation:** The pyramid consists of *n* equilateral triangles. The number of spheres in triangle *t* is  $T(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} i$ .
- Slow solution: Calculate  $P(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T(i)$ . Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ , too slow.
- Solution: Simplify  $T(t) = \frac{t \cdot (t+1)}{2}$ . Now calculating P(n) runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ , accepted!
- Pitfall: If t is an int,  $t \cdot (t+1)$  overflows. Use 64-bit integers!
- **Challenge:** The calculation of P(n) can even be simplified to run in O(1).

Statistics: 53 submissions, 29 accepted, 2 unknown

Problem: Given two types of penalty times for n teams (1 ≤ l<sub>i</sub> < s<sub>i</sub> ≤ n), find out over all ways of choosing the type of penalty time for each team, how many fixed points the scoreboard contains in total.

- Problem: Given two types of penalty times for *n* teams (1 ≤ *l<sub>i</sub>* < *s<sub>i</sub>* ≤ *n*), find out over all ways of choosing the type of penalty time for each team, how many fixed points the scoreboard contains in total.
- **Observation 1:** Instead of finding fixed points for each out of 2<sup>n</sup> options, find how many times team *i* is a fixed point.

- Problem: Given two types of penalty times for *n* teams (1 ≤ *l<sub>i</sub>* < *s<sub>i</sub>* ≤ *n*), find out over all ways of choosing the type of penalty time for each team, how many fixed points the scoreboard contains in total.
- **Observation 1:** Instead of finding fixed points for each out of 2<sup>n</sup> options, find how many times team *i* is a fixed point.
- **Observation 2:** Loop over all teams, try both options *l<sub>i</sub>* and *s<sub>i</sub>* as potential fixed point, for team *i*, call this potential fixed point *f*.

- Problem: Given two types of penalty times for *n* teams (1 ≤ *l<sub>i</sub>* < *s<sub>i</sub>* ≤ *n*), find out over all ways of choosing the type of penalty time for each team, how many fixed points the scoreboard contains in total.
- **Observation 1:** Instead of finding fixed points for each out of 2<sup>n</sup> options, find how many times team *i* is a fixed point.
- **Observation 2:** Loop over all teams, try both options  $I_i$  and  $s_i$  as potential fixed point, for team i, call this potential fixed point f.
- Observation 3: Other teams form 3 groups:
  - **A** Teams with *I<sub>j</sub>* < *f* , *s<sub>j</sub>* < *f*
  - **B** Teams with  $I_j < f, s_j \ge f$
  - **C** Teams with  $I_j \ge f, s_j \ge f$

- Problem: Given two types of penalty times for *n* teams (1 ≤ *l<sub>i</sub>* < *s<sub>i</sub>* ≤ *n*), find out over all ways of choosing the type of penalty time for each team, how many fixed points the scoreboard contains in total.
- **Observation 1:** Instead of finding fixed points for each out of 2<sup>n</sup> options, find how many times team *i* is a fixed point.
- **Observation 2:** Loop over all teams, try both options  $I_i$  and  $s_i$  as potential fixed point, for team i, call this potential fixed point f.
- Observation 3: Other teams form 3 groups:
  - A Teams with  $I_j < f, s_j < f$
  - **B** Teams with  $I_j < f, s_j \ge f$
  - **C** Teams with  $I_j \ge f, s_j \ge f$
- Observation 4: The number of ways to choose the other submission times, for team i to have a fixed point at rank f: 2<sup>|A|+|C|</sup> · 
   <sup>|B|</sup>
   <sub>f</sub>
   <sub>f</sub>

- Problem: Given two types of penalty times for n teams (1 ≤ l<sub>i</sub> < s<sub>i</sub> ≤ n), find out over all ways of choosing the type of penalty time for each team, how many fixed points the scoreboard contains in total.
- **Observation 1:** Instead of finding fixed points for each out of 2<sup>n</sup> options, find how many times team *i* is a fixed point.
- **Observation 2:** Loop over all teams, try both options  $I_i$  and  $s_i$  as potential fixed point, for team i, call this potential fixed point f.
- Observation 3: Other teams form 3 groups:
  - A Teams with  $l_j < f, s_j < f$
  - **B** Teams with  $I_j < f, s_j \ge f$
  - **C** Teams with  $I_j \ge f, s_j \ge f$
- Observation 4: The number of ways to choose the other submission times, for team i to have a fixed point at rank f: 2<sup>|A|+|C|</sup> · (<sup>|B|</sup><sub>f-|A|</sub>)
- Team j is in group **A** if  $s_j < f$ .

- Problem: Given two types of penalty times for n teams (1 ≤ l<sub>i</sub> < s<sub>i</sub> ≤ n), find out over all ways of choosing the type of penalty time for each team, how many fixed points the scoreboard contains in total.
- **Observation 1:** Instead of finding fixed points for each out of 2<sup>n</sup> options, find how many times team *i* is a fixed point.
- **Observation 2:** Loop over all teams, try both options  $I_i$  and  $s_i$  as potential fixed point, for team i, call this potential fixed point f.
- Observation 3: Other teams form 3 groups:
  - A Teams with  $I_j < f, s_j < f$
  - **B** Teams with  $I_j < f, s_j \ge f$
  - **C** Teams with  $I_j \ge f, s_j \ge f$
- Observation 4: The number of ways to choose the other submission times, for team *i* to have a fixed point at rank  $f: 2^{|A|+|C|} \cdot {|B| \choose f-|A|}$
- Team j is in group **A** if  $s_j < f$ .
- Team j is in group **C** if  $l_j \ge f$ .

- Problem: Given two types of penalty times for n teams (1 ≤ l<sub>i</sub> < s<sub>i</sub> ≤ n), find out over all ways of choosing the type of penalty time for each team, how many fixed points the scoreboard contains in total.
- **Observation 1:** Instead of finding fixed points for each out of 2<sup>n</sup> options, find how many times team *i* is a fixed point.
- **Observation 2:** Loop over all teams, try both options  $I_i$  and  $s_i$  as potential fixed point, for team i, call this potential fixed point f.
- Observation 3: Other teams form 3 groups:
  - A Teams with  $I_j < f, s_j < f$
  - **B** Teams with  $I_j < f, s_j \ge f$
  - **C** Teams with  $I_j \ge f, s_j \ge f$
- Observation 4: The number of ways to choose the other submission times, for team i to have a fixed point at rank f: 2<sup>|A|+|C|</sup> · 
   <sup>|B|</sup>
   <sub>f</sub>
   <sub>f</sub>
- Team j is in group **A** if  $s_j < f$ .
- Team j is in group **C** if  $l_j \ge f$ .
- Otherwise, team j is in **B**. By sorting the  $l_j$  and  $s_j$  arrays, |A| and |C| can be found by binary search.

- Problem: Given two types of penalty times for *n* teams (1 ≤ *l<sub>i</sub>* < *s<sub>i</sub>* ≤ *n*), find out over all ways of choosing the type of penalty time for each team, how many fixed points the scoreboard contains in total.
- Observation 4: The number of ways to choose the other submission times, for team i to have a fixed point at rank f: 2<sup>|A|+|C|</sup> · (<sup>|B|</sup><sub>f-|A|</sub>)
- Need to calculate  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  binomial coefficients  $\binom{a}{b}$ , with  $0 \le a, b \le n$ :  $\binom{a}{b} = \frac{a!}{b!(a-b)!}$ and  $2^a$  for  $0 \le a \le n$ , both mod  $(10^9 + 7)$

- Problem: Given two types of penalty times for n teams (1 ≤ l<sub>i</sub> < s<sub>i</sub> ≤ n), find out over all ways of choosing the type of penalty time for each team, how many fixed points the scoreboard contains in total.
- Observation 4: The number of ways to choose the other submission times, for team *i* to have a fixed point at rank f: 2<sup>|A|+|C|</sup> · (<sup>|B|</sup><sub>f-|A|</sub>)
- Need to calculate  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  binomial coefficients  $\binom{a}{b}$ , with  $0 \le a, b \le n$ :  $\binom{a}{b} = \frac{a!}{b!(a-b)!}$ and  $2^a$  for  $0 \le a \le n$ , both mod  $(10^9 + 7)$
- Can precalculate factorial [k] and two power [k] in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ .

- Problem: Given two types of penalty times for *n* teams (1 ≤ *l<sub>i</sub>* < *s<sub>i</sub>* ≤ *n*), find out over all ways of choosing the type of penalty time for each team, how many fixed points the scoreboard contains in total.
- Observation 4: The number of ways to choose the other submission times, for team *i* to have a fixed point at rank f: 2<sup>|A|+|C|</sup> · (<sup>|B|</sup><sub>f-|A|</sub>)
- Need to calculate  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  binomial coefficients  $\binom{a}{b}$ , with  $0 \le a, b \le n$ :  $\binom{a}{b} = \frac{a!}{b!(a-b)!}$ and  $2^a$  for  $0 \le a \le n$ , both mod  $(10^9 + 7)$
- Can precalculate factorial [k] and two power [k] in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ .
- Can find inverse of factorial[n] in O(log(MOD)) (or if you don't know how to calculate a modular inverse, you can bruteforce it on your own computer).

- Problem: Given two types of penalty times for *n* teams (1 ≤ *l<sub>i</sub>* < *s<sub>i</sub>* ≤ *n*), find out over all ways of choosing the type of penalty time for each team, how many fixed points the scoreboard contains in total.
- Observation 4: The number of ways to choose the other submission times, for team *i* to have a fixed point at rank f: 2<sup>|A|+|C|</sup> · (<sup>|B|</sup><sub>f-|A|</sub>)
- Need to calculate  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  binomial coefficients  $\binom{a}{b}$ , with  $0 \le a, b \le n$ :  $\binom{a}{b} = \frac{a!}{b!(a-b)!}$ and  $2^a$  for  $0 \le a \le n$ , both mod  $(10^9 + 7)$
- Can precalculate factorial [k] and two power [k] in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ .
- Can find inverse of factorial[n] in O(log(MOD)) (or if you don't know how to calculate a modular inverse, you can bruteforce it on your own computer).
- Now fill the array invfactorial[k] using invfactorial[k] = invfactorial[k + 1]  $\cdot$  (k + 1) in O(n).
- Problem: Given two types of penalty times for *n* teams (1 ≤ *l<sub>i</sub>* < *s<sub>i</sub>* ≤ *n*), find out over all ways of choosing the type of penalty time for each team, how many fixed points the scoreboard contains in total.
- Observation 4: The number of ways to choose the other submission times, for team *i* to have a fixed point at rank f: 2<sup>|A|+|C|</sup> · (<sup>|B|</sup><sub>f-|A|</sub>)
- Need to calculate  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  binomial coefficients  $\binom{a}{b}$ , with  $0 \le a, b \le n$ :  $\binom{a}{b} = \frac{a!}{b!(a-b)!}$ and  $2^a$  for  $0 \le a \le n$ , both mod  $(10^9 + 7)$
- Can precalculate factorial [k] and two power [k] in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ .
- Can find inverse of factorial[n] in O(log(MOD)) (or if you don't know how to calculate a modular inverse, you can bruteforce it on your own computer).
- Now fill the array invfactorial[k] using invfactorial[k] = invfactorial[k + 1]  $\cdot$  (k + 1) in O(n).
- Complexity varying from \$\mathcal{O}(n(log(n) + log(MOD)))\$ to \$\mathcal{O}(n + log(MOD))\$ depending on exact implementation.

- Problem: Given two types of penalty times for *n* teams (1 ≤ *l<sub>i</sub>* < *s<sub>i</sub>* ≤ *n*), find out over all ways of choosing the type of penalty time for each team, how many fixed points the scoreboard contains in total.
- Observation 4: The number of ways to choose the other submission times, for team i to have a fixed point at rank f: 2<sup>|A|+|C|</sup> · (<sup>|B|</sup><sub>f-|A|</sub>)
- Need to calculate  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  binomial coefficients  $\binom{a}{b}$ , with  $0 \le a, b \le n$ :  $\binom{a}{b} = \frac{a!}{b!(a-b)!}$ and  $2^a$  for  $0 \le a \le n$ , both mod  $(10^9 + 7)$
- Can precalculate factorial [k] and two power [k] in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ .
- Can find inverse of factorial[n] in O(log(MOD)) (or if you don't know how to calculate a modular inverse, you can bruteforce it on your own computer).
- Now fill the array invfactorial[k] using invfactorial[k] = invfactorial[k + 1]  $\cdot$  (k + 1) in O(n).
- Complexity varying from \$\mathcal{O}(n(log(n) + log(MOD)))\$ to \$\mathcal{O}(n + log(MOD))\$ depending on exact implementation.

Statistics: 3 submissions, 0 accepted, 3 unknown



• Problem: Read two lines, comprised of 'R', 'P', and 'S', and determine who wins the most games.

Problem: Read two lines, comprised of 'R', 'P', and 'S', and determine who wins the most games.

. . . . .

. .

h

- Solution:
  - Read the two lines character by character, increment a counter if player 1 wins and decrement it if player 2 wins.
  - Finally, print "victory" if the counter is positive, and "defeat" if it is negative.

- Problem: Read two lines, comprised of 'R', 'P', and 'S', and determine who wins the most games.

h

- Solution:
  - Read the two lines character by character, increment a counter if player 1 wins and decrement it if player 2 wins.
  - Finally, print "victory" if the counter is positive, and "defeat" if it is negative.
- Complexity:  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ .

Problem: Read two lines, comprised of 'R', 'P', and 'S', and determine who wins the most games.

... ....

. .

h

- Solution:
  - Read the two lines character by character, increment a counter if player 1 wins and decrement it if player 2 wins.
  - Finally, print "victory" if the counter is positive, and "defeat" if it is negative.
- **Complexity:**  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ .

Statistics: 50 submissions, 34 accepted, 3 unknown



• Problem: Given ranked-choice ballots, determine the candidate who beats all other candidates.

• Problem: Given ranked-choice ballots, determine the candidate who beats all other candidates.

in a de l

• Fun Fact: This is also known as the *Condorcet* voting method.

Problem Author: Veselin Mitev

- Problem: Given ranked-choice ballots, determine the candidate who beats all other candidates.
- Fun Fact: This is also known as the *Condorcet* voting method.
- How to determine whether candidate A is better than B?
  - Go through all ballots count how many times A appears before B, and vice-versa. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .

i program da ser la

Problem Author: Veselin Mitev

- Problem: Given ranked-choice ballots, determine the candidate who beats all other candidates.
- Fun Fact: This is also known as the *Condorcet* voting method.
- How to determine whether candidate A is better than B?
  - Go through all ballots count how many times A appears before B, and vice-versa. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .

i na se de la la

Observation: We can preprocess the ballots in O(n · k), such that we can access the position that each candidate appears in each ballot in O(1). Thus, answering whether candidate A beats candidate B, now only takes O(n).

Problem Author: Veselin Mitev

- Problem: Given ranked-choice ballots, determine the candidate who beats all other candidates.
- Fun Fact: This is also known as the *Condorcet* voting method.
- How to determine whether candidate A is better than B?
  - Go through all ballots count how many times A appears before B, and vice-versa. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .

i na se de la la

- Observation: We can preprocess the ballots in O(n · k), such that we can access the position that each candidate appears in each ballot in O(1). Thus, answering whether candidate A beats candidate B, now only takes O(n).
- Solution:
  - Pick a candidate d.

Problem Author: Veselin Mitev

- Problem: Given ranked-choice ballots, determine the candidate who beats all other candidates.
- Fun Fact: This is also known as the *Condorcet* voting method.
- How to determine whether candidate A is better than B?
  - Go through all ballots count how many times A appears before B, and vice-versa. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .

in the later

- Observation: We can preprocess the ballots in O(n · k), such that we can access the position that each candidate appears in each ballot in O(1). Thus, answering whether candidate A beats candidate B, now only takes O(n).
- Solution:
  - Pick a candidate d.
  - Go through all candidates  $c_i$ : Anytime  $c_i$  beats  $d: d \leftarrow c_i$ . Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .

Problem Author: Veselin Mitev

- Problem: Given ranked-choice ballots, determine the candidate who beats all other candidates.
- Fun Fact: This is also known as the *Condorcet* voting method.
- How to determine whether candidate A is better than B?
  - Go through all ballots count how many times A appears before B, and vice-versa. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .

i par a la constante

- Observation: We can preprocess the ballots in O(n · k), such that we can access the position that each candidate appears in each ballot in O(1). Thus, answering whether candidate A beats candidate B, now only takes O(n).
- Solution:
  - Pick a candidate d.
  - Go through all candidates  $c_i$ : Anytime  $c_i$  beats  $d: d \leftarrow c_i$ . Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .
  - Observation: If the election has a winner, it must be d. (This can be proven using contradiction!)

Problem Author: Veselin Mitev

- Problem: Given ranked-choice ballots, determine the candidate who beats all other candidates.
- Fun Fact: This is also known as the *Condorcet* voting method.
- How to determine whether candidate A is better than B?
  - Go through all ballots count how many times A appears before B, and vice-versa. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .

in the later

- Observation: We can preprocess the ballots in O(n · k), such that we can access the position that each candidate appears in each ballot in O(1). Thus, answering whether candidate A beats candidate B, now only takes O(n).
- Solution:
  - Pick a candidate d.
  - Go through all candidates  $c_i$ : Anytime  $c_i$  beats  $d: d \leftarrow c_i$ . Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .
  - Observation: If the election has a winner, it must be d. (This can be proven using contradiction!)
  - Check if *d* beats all other candidates. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .

Problem Author: Veselin Mitev

- Problem: Given ranked-choice ballots, determine the candidate who beats all other candidates.
- Fun Fact: This is also known as the *Condorcet* voting method.
- How to determine whether candidate A is better than B?
  - Go through all ballots count how many times A appears before B, and vice-versa. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .

in the later of

- Observation: We can preprocess the ballots in O(n · k), such that we can access the position that each candidate appears in each ballot in O(1). Thus, answering whether candidate A beats candidate B, now only takes O(n).
- Solution:
  - Pick a candidate d.
  - Go through all candidates  $c_i$ : Anytime  $c_i$  beats  $d: d \leftarrow c_i$ . Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .
  - Observation: If the election has a winner, it must be d. (This can be proven using contradiction!)
  - Check if d beats all other candidates. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .
- **Complexity:**  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .

Problem Author: Veselin Mitev

- Problem: Given ranked-choice ballots, determine the candidate who beats all other candidates.
- Fun Fact: This is also known as the *Condorcet* voting method.
- How to determine whether candidate A is better than B?
  - Go through all ballots count how many times A appears before B, and vice-versa. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .

in the later of

- Observation: We can preprocess the ballots in O(n · k), such that we can access the position that each candidate appears in each ballot in O(1). Thus, answering whether candidate A beats candidate B, now only takes O(n).
- Solution:
  - Pick a candidate d.
  - Go through all candidates  $c_i$ : Anytime  $c_i$  beats  $d: d \leftarrow c_i$ . Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .
  - Observation: If the election has a winner, it must be d. (This can be proven using contradiction!)
  - Check if d beats all other candidates. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .
- **Complexity:**  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .
  - But we chose the time limit to also accept  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k^2)$  or even  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k^3)$ .

Problem Author: Veselin Mitev

- Problem: Given ranked-choice ballots, determine the candidate who beats all other candidates.
- Fun Fact: This is also known as the *Condorcet* voting method.
- How to determine whether candidate A is better than B?
  - Go through all ballots count how many times A appears before B, and vice-versa. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .

in the later of

- Observation: We can preprocess the ballots in O(n · k), such that we can access the position that each candidate appears in each ballot in O(1). Thus, answering whether candidate A beats candidate B, now only takes O(n).
- Solution:
  - Pick a candidate d.
  - Go through all candidates  $c_i$ : Anytime  $c_i$  beats  $d: d \leftarrow c_i$ . Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .
  - Observation: If the election has a winner, it must be d. (This can be proven using contradiction!)
  - Check if d beats all other candidates. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .
- **Complexity:**  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k)$ .
  - But we chose the time limit to also accept  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k^2)$  or even  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k^3)$ .

Statistics: 29 submissions, 4 accepted, 9 unknown



- Problem: Count how many cells will change when painting the flag rotated.

- Problem: Count how many cells will change when painting the flag rotated.
- Observation: Since each column has to be repainted to one color, we will change n cnt<sub>c</sub> cells in it (where c is the final color).

- Problem: Count how many cells will change when painting the flag rotated.
- Observation: Since each column has to be repainted to one color, we will change n cnt<sub>c</sub> cells in it (where c is the final color).
- Solution: Count how many cells won't change.

- Problem: Count how many cells will change when painting the flag rotated.
- Observation: Since each column has to be repainted to one color, we will change n cnt<sub>c</sub> cells in it (where c is the final color).
- Solution: Count how many cells won't change.
  - First sort the array, then check for segments made of identical elements, this way we find the count of each cell color.

- Problem: Count how many cells will change when painting the flag rotated.
- Observation: Since each column has to be repainted to one color, we will change n cnt<sub>c</sub> cells in it (where c is the final color).
- Solution: Count how many cells won't change.
  - First sort the array, then check for segments made of identical elements, this way we find the count of each cell color.

• answer =  $n^2 - \sum_c cnt_c^2$ 

- Problem: Count how many cells will change when painting the flag rotated.
- Observation: Since each column has to be repainted to one color, we will change n cnt<sub>c</sub> cells in it (where c is the final color).
- Solution: Count how many cells won't change.
  - First sort the array, then check for segments made of identical elements, this way we find the count of each cell color.

- answer =  $n^2 \sum_c cnt_c^2$
- **Complexity:**  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ .

- Problem: Count how many cells will change when painting the flag rotated.
- Observation: Since each column has to be repainted to one color, we will change n cnt<sub>c</sub> cells in it (where c is the final color).
- Solution: Count how many cells won't change.
  - First sort the array, then check for segments made of identical elements, this way we find the count of each cell color.

- answer =  $n^2 \sum_c cnt_c^2$
- **Complexity:**  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ .
- Note: this can also be done using a (hash) map.

- Problem: Count how many cells will change when painting the flag rotated.
- Observation: Since each column has to be repainted to one color, we will change n cnt<sub>c</sub> cells in it (where c is the final color).
- Solution: Count how many cells won't change.
  - First sort the array, then check for segments made of identical elements, this way we find the count of each cell color.

- answer =  $n^2 \sum_c cnt_c^2$
- **Complexity:**  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ .
- Note: this can also be done using a (hash) map.

Statistics: 76 submissions, 20 accepted, 16 unknown

Problem Author: Veselin Mitev

• Problem: Navigate between wormholes to find the ancient relic, without running out of fuel.

- Problem: Navigate between wormholes to find the ancient relic, without running out of fuel.
- **Observation:** You can refuel more than enough times to simply explore all wormholes, until you find a way to reach the relic.

- Problem: Navigate between wormholes to find the ancient relic, without running out of fuel.
- **Observation:** You can refuel more than enough times to simply explore all wormholes, until you find a way to reach the relic.
- Solution: Perform a "live" search explore the wormholes while always keeping enough fuel (<sup>d</sup>/<sub>2</sub>) to go back to home base:

- Problem: Navigate between wormholes to find the ancient relic, without running out of fuel.
- **Observation:** You can refuel more than enough times to simply explore all wormholes, until you find a way to reach the relic.
- Solution: Perform a "live" search explore the wormholes while always keeping enough fuel (<sup>d</sup>/<sub>2</sub>) to go back to home base:
  - If you can reach the relic within the fuel limit, do that.

- Problem: Navigate between wormholes to find the ancient relic, without running out of fuel.
- **Observation:** You can refuel more than enough times to simply explore all wormholes, until you find a way to reach the relic.
- Solution: Perform a "live" search explore the wormholes while always keeping enough fuel (<sup>d</sup>/<sub>2</sub>) to go back to home base:
  - If you can reach the relic within the fuel limit, do that.
  - Find the closest unexplored wormhole:
    - You can do that using Dijkstra, or Floyd-Warshall.

- Problem: Navigate between wormholes to find the ancient relic, without running out of fuel.
- **Observation:** You can refuel more than enough times to simply explore all wormholes, until you find a way to reach the relic.
- Solution: Perform a "live" search explore the wormholes while always keeping enough fuel (<sup>d</sup>/<sub>2</sub>) to go back to home base:
  - If you can reach the relic within the fuel limit, do that.
  - Find the closest unexplored wormhole:
    - You can do that using Dijkstra, or Floyd-Warshall.
  - Can we reach it while still having enough fuel to go back to home base?

- Problem: Navigate between wormholes to find the ancient relic, without running out of fuel.
- **Observation:** You can refuel more than enough times to simply explore all wormholes, until you find a way to reach the relic.
- Solution: Perform a "live" search explore the wormholes while always keeping enough fuel (<sup>d</sup>/<sub>2</sub>) to go back to home base:
  - If you can reach the relic within the fuel limit, do that.
  - Find the closest unexplored wormhole:
    - You can do that using Dijkstra, or Floyd-Warshall.
  - Can we reach it while still having enough fuel to go back to home base?
    - If yes: Go to that wormhole and update the distances between the points.

- Problem: Navigate between wormholes to find the ancient relic, without running out of fuel.
- **Observation:** You can refuel more than enough times to simply explore all wormholes, until you find a way to reach the relic.
- Solution: Perform a "live" search explore the wormholes while always keeping enough fuel (<sup>d</sup>/<sub>2</sub>) to go back to home base:
  - If you can reach the relic within the fuel limit, do that.
  - Find the closest unexplored wormhole:
    - You can do that using Dijkstra, or Floyd-Warshall.
  - Can we reach it while still having enough fuel to go back to home base?
    - If yes: Go to that wormhole and update the distances between the points.
    - If no: Go back to home base and refuel.

- Problem: Navigate between wormholes to find the ancient relic, without running out of fuel.
- **Observation:** You can refuel more than enough times to simply explore all wormholes, until you find a way to reach the relic.
- Solution: Perform a "live" search explore the wormholes while always keeping enough fuel (<sup>d</sup>/<sub>2</sub>) to go back to home base:
  - If you can reach the relic within the fuel limit, do that.
  - Find the closest unexplored wormhole:
    - You can do that using Dijkstra, or Floyd-Warshall.
  - Can we reach it while still having enough fuel to go back to home base?
    - If yes: Go to that wormhole and update the distances between the points.
    - If no: Go back to home base and refuel.
  - Repeat.

- Problem: Navigate between wormholes to find the ancient relic, without running out of fuel.
- **Observation:** You can refuel more than enough times to simply explore all wormholes, until you find a way to reach the relic.
- Solution: Perform a "live" search explore the wormholes while always keeping enough fuel (<sup>d</sup>/<sub>2</sub>) to go back to home base:
  - If you can reach the relic within the fuel limit, do that.
  - Find the closest unexplored wormhole:
    - You can do that using Dijkstra, or Floyd-Warshall.
  - Can we reach it while still having enough fuel to go back to home base?
    - If yes: Go to that wormhole and update the distances between the points.
    - If no: Go back to home base and refuel.
  - Repeat.
- Worst case: We can explore all wormholes in  $\frac{n}{2}$  runs.

- Problem: Navigate between wormholes to find the ancient relic, without running out of fuel.
- **Observation:** You can refuel more than enough times to simply explore all wormholes, until you find a way to reach the relic.
- Solution: Perform a "live" search explore the wormholes while always keeping enough fuel (<sup>d</sup>/<sub>2</sub>) to go back to home base:
  - If you can reach the relic within the fuel limit, do that.
  - Find the closest unexplored wormhole:
    - You can do that using Dijkstra, or Floyd-Warshall.
  - Can we reach it while still having enough fuel to go back to home base?
    - If yes: Go to that wormhole and update the distances between the points.
    - If no: Go back to home base and refuel.
  - Repeat.
- Worst case: We can explore all wormholes in  $\frac{n}{2}$  runs.
- If we know all wormholes, it is guaranteed that we can reach the relic, if we follow an optimal path.
# **G:** Galactic Expedition

Problem Author: Veselin Mitev

- Problem: Navigate between wormholes to find the ancient relic, without running out of fuel.
- **Observation:** You can refuel more than enough times to simply explore all wormholes, until you find a way to reach the relic.
- Solution: Perform a "live" search explore the wormholes while always keeping enough fuel (<sup>d</sup>/<sub>2</sub>) to go back to home base:
  - If you can reach the relic within the fuel limit, do that.
  - Find the closest unexplored wormhole:
    - You can do that using Dijkstra, or Floyd-Warshall.
  - Can we reach it while still having enough fuel to go back to home base?
    - If yes: Go to that wormhole and update the distances between the points.
    - If no: Go back to home base and refuel.
  - Repeat.
- Worst case: We can explore all wormholes in  $\frac{n}{2}$  runs.
- If we know all wormholes, it is guaranteed that we can reach the relic, if we follow an optimal path.
- Time Complexity: O(n<sup>3</sup>) or O(n<sup>3</sup> log n). Or if you're clever about how you cache the results from the Dijkstra search algorithm you can do it in O(n<sup>2</sup>) or O(n<sup>2</sup> log n).

# **G:** Galactic Expedition

Problem Author: Veselin Mitev

- Problem: Navigate between wormholes to find the ancient relic, without running out of fuel.
- **Observation:** You can refuel more than enough times to simply explore all wormholes, until you find a way to reach the relic.
- Solution: Perform a "live" search explore the wormholes while always keeping enough fuel (<sup>d</sup>/<sub>2</sub>) to go back to home base:
  - If you can reach the relic within the fuel limit, do that.
  - Find the closest unexplored wormhole:
    - You can do that using Dijkstra, or Floyd-Warshall.
  - Can we reach it while still having enough fuel to go back to home base?
    - If yes: Go to that wormhole and update the distances between the points.
    - If no: Go back to home base and refuel.
  - Repeat.
- Worst case: We can explore all wormholes in  $\frac{n}{2}$  runs.
- If we know all wormholes, it is guaranteed that we can reach the relic, if we follow an optimal path.
- Time Complexity: \$\mathcal{O}(n^3)\$ or \$\mathcal{O}(n^3 \log n)\$. Or if you're clever about how you cache the results from the Dijkstra search algorithm you can do it in \$\mathcal{O}(n^2)\$ or \$\mathcal{O}(n^2 \log n)\$.

Statistics: 0 submissions, 0 accepted

Problem Author: Jeroen Op de Beek

• Problem: Calculate the value of the function sum, which uses values instead of indices.

. . . . .

հղիվել մա պա իստ տուղեր է -----

Problem Author: Jeroen Op de Beek

- Problem: Calculate the value of the function sum, which uses values instead of indices.
- Naive solution: Simply run the function after every update. This takes  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot q)$  time, too slow!

. . . . .

<del>երքանը մա պաշ իստ աստիթութ</del>

Problem Author: Jeroen Op de Beek

- Problem: Calculate the value of the function sum, which uses values instead of indices.
- Naive solution: Simply run the function after every update. This takes  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot q)$  time, too slow!

. . . . .

ինելին պաշիտանանիր եւ

• **Observation:** To be fast enough, every query must be processed in  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ .

Problem Author: Jeroen Op de Beek

- Problem: Calculate the value of the function sum, which uses values instead of indices.
- Naive solution: Simply run the function after every update. This takes  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot q)$  time, too slow!

. .....

անությու իստ առափիթյու

- **Observation:** To be fast enough, every query must be processed in  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ .
- Solution: Do some extra bookkeeping:
  - Count how often every value occurs in the initial array (=  $c_x$  for every  $0 \le x < n$ ).

Problem Author: Jeroen Op de Beek

- Problem: Calculate the value of the function sum, which uses values instead of indices.
- Naive solution: Simply run the function after every update. This takes  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot q)$  time, too slow!

. .....

- **Observation:** To be fast enough, every query must be processed in  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ .
- Solution: Do some extra bookkeeping:
  - Count how often every value occurs in the initial array (=  $c_x$  for every  $0 \le x < n$ ).
  - Calculate the value of sum for the initial array and store this.

Problem Author: Jeroen Op de Beek

- Problem: Calculate the value of the function sum, which uses values instead of indices.
- Naive solution: Simply run the function after every update. This takes  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot q)$  time, too slow!

. . . . .

a da agas forma ana da porte

- **Observation:** To be fast enough, every query must be processed in  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ .
- Solution: Do some extra bookkeeping:
  - Count how often every value occurs in the initial array (=  $c_x$  for every  $0 \le x < n$ ).
  - Calculate the value of sum for the initial array and store this.
  - For every update (x, v) (let the old value in the array be  $v_{old}$ ):
    - Decrement c<sub>vold</sub>.
    - Subtract  $c_x \cdot v_{old} + a_{v_{old}}$  and add  $c_x \cdot v + a_v$  to the stored value of sum.
    - Increment c<sub>v</sub>.
    - Update the value in the array.

Problem Author: Jeroen Op de Beek

- Problem: Calculate the value of the function sum, which uses values instead of indices.
- Naive solution: Simply run the function after every update. This takes  $O(n \cdot q)$  time, too slow!

. . . . .

անակությունը հայուները էր

- **Observation:** To be fast enough, every query must be processed in  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ .
- Solution: Do some extra bookkeeping:
  - Count how often every value occurs in the initial array (=  $c_x$  for every  $0 \le x < n$ ).
  - Calculate the value of sum for the initial array and store this.
  - For every update (x, v) (let the old value in the array be  $v_{old}$ ):
    - Decrement c<sub>vold</sub>.
    - Subtract  $c_x \cdot v_{old} + a_{v_{old}}$  and add  $c_x \cdot v + a_v$  to the stored value of sum.
    - Increment c<sub>v</sub>.
    - Update the value in the array.
- Complexity:  $\mathcal{O}(n+q)$ .

Problem Author: Jeroen Op de Beek

- Problem: Calculate the value of the function sum, which uses values instead of indices.
- Naive solution: Simply run the function after every update. This takes  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot q)$  time, too slow!

. . . . .

հանց մասպաս կուտ աստակալ ար

- **Observation:** To be fast enough, every query must be processed in  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ .
- Solution: Do some extra bookkeeping:
  - Count how often every value occurs in the initial array (=  $c_x$  for every  $0 \le x < n$ ).
  - Calculate the value of sum for the initial array and store this.
  - For every update (x, v) (let the old value in the array be  $v_{old}$ ):
    - Decrement c<sub>vold</sub>.
    - Subtract  $c_x \cdot v_{old} + a_{v_{old}}$  and add  $c_x \cdot v + a_v$  to the stored value of sum.
    - Increment c<sub>v</sub>.
    - Update the value in the array.
- Complexity:  $\mathcal{O}(n+q)$ .
- Pitfall: Beware of int overflow, be sure to use 64-bit integers!

Problem Author: Jeroen Op de Beek

- Problem: Calculate the value of the function sum, which uses values instead of indices.
- Naive solution: Simply run the function after every update. This takes  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot q)$  time, too slow!

. . . . .

բե<del>սի դես պա իստ ասփը է։</del>

- **Observation:** To be fast enough, every query must be processed in  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ .
- Solution: Do some extra bookkeeping:
  - Count how often every value occurs in the initial array (=  $c_x$  for every  $0 \le x < n$ ).
  - Calculate the value of sum for the initial array and store this.
  - For every update (x, v) (let the old value in the array be v<sub>old</sub>):
    - Decrement c<sub>vold</sub>.
    - Subtract  $c_x \cdot v_{old} + a_{v_{old}}$  and add  $c_x \cdot v + a_v$  to the stored value of sum.
    - Increment  $c_v$ .
    - Update the value in the array.
- Complexity:  $\mathcal{O}(n+q)$ .
- Pitfall: Beware of int overflow, be sure to use 64-bit integers!

Statistics: 59 submissions, 6 accepted, 9 unknown

Problem Author: Makar Kuleshov

• **Problem:** Calculate the value of the implication  $a_l \rightarrow a_{l+1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow a_r$  for many subarrays.

اس .. بىغان يې يې بې چې خە بېرى

Problem Author: Makar Kuleshov

- **Problem:** Calculate the value of the implication  $a_l \rightarrow a_{l+1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow a_r$  for many subarrays.
- Naive solution: Go through the whole subarray to calculate the result. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot q)$ , too slow!

it is a line of the

Problem Author: Makar Kuleshov

- **Problem:** Calculate the value of the implication  $a_l \rightarrow a_{l+1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow a_r$  for many subarrays.
- Naive solution: Go through the whole subarray to calculate the result. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot q)$ , too slow!

اسلى ، دەھەر يې يې يې

• **Observation:** When the right argument of an implication is 1, the result is always equal to 1. So, we can look only at the last 1 in the subarray and the following zeros.

Problem Author: Makar Kuleshov

- **Problem:** Calculate the value of the implication  $a_l \rightarrow a_{l+1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow a_r$  for many subarrays.
- Naive solution: Go through the whole subarray to calculate the result. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot q)$ , too slow!

اسلى ، ، بىلىدى يې يې يې

Observation: When the right argument of an implication is 1, the result is always equal to 1.
So, we can look only at the last 1 in the subarray and the following zeros.
a<sub>l</sub> → ... → 1 = 1

Problem Author: Makar Kuleshov

- **Problem:** Calculate the value of the implication  $a_l \rightarrow a_{l+1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow a_r$  for many subarrays.
- Naive solution: Go through the whole subarray to calculate the result. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot q)$ , too slow!

سل .. دهده و بدور جزا

• **Observation:** When the right argument of an implication is 1, the result is always equal to 1. So, we can look only at the last 1 in the subarray and the following zeros.  $a_l \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 0 = 0$ 

Problem Author: Makar Kuleshov

- **Problem:** Calculate the value of the implication  $a_l \rightarrow a_{l+1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow a_r$  for many subarrays.
- Naive solution: Go through the whole subarray to calculate the result. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot q)$ , too slow!

اسلى ، مىلىدى يې يې يې

• **Observation:** When the right argument of an implication is 1, the result is always equal to 1. So, we can look only at the last 1 in the subarray and the following zeros.

$$\underbrace{a_l \to \ldots \to 1 \to 0}_{0} \to 0 =$$

Problem Author: Makar Kuleshov

- **Problem:** Calculate the value of the implication  $a_l \rightarrow a_{l+1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow a_r$  for many subarrays.
- Naive solution: Go through the whole subarray to calculate the result. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot q)$ , too slow!

اسلى ، مىلىدى يې يې يې

• **Observation:** When the right argument of an implication is 1, the result is always equal to 1. So, we can look only at the last 1 in the subarray and the following zeros.  $a_1 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 = 0$ 

Problem Author: Makar Kuleshov

- **Problem:** Calculate the value of the implication  $a_l \rightarrow a_{l+1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow a_r$  for many subarrays.
- Naive solution: Go through the whole subarray to calculate the result. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot q)$ , too slow!

اسلى ، دەھەر يې مىچ

- **Observation:** When the right argument of an implication is 1, the result is always equal to 1. So, we can look only at the last 1 in the subarray and the following zeros.
  - $a_l \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \underbrace{0 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow 0}_{k \text{ zeros}}$

If k is even then the result equals 1.

If k is odd then the result equals 0.

Problem Author: Makar Kuleshov

- **Problem:** Calculate the value of the implication  $a_l \rightarrow a_{l+1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow a_r$  for many subarrays.
- Naive solution: Go through the whole subarray to calculate the result. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot q)$ , too slow!

اسلى بروطون مرجع والم

• **Observation:** When the right argument of an implication is 1, the result is always equal to 1. So, we can look only at the last 1 in the subarray and the following zeros.

 $a_l \to \ldots \to 1 \to \underbrace{0 \to \ldots \to 0}_{k \text{ zeros}}$ If k is even then the result equals 1.

If k is odd then the result equals 0.

 Solution: For each position precompute the index of the last 1 appearing not after it. This way you can determine the number of zeros in the end of a subarray in O(1).

Problem Author: Makar Kuleshov

- **Problem:** Calculate the value of the implication  $a_l \rightarrow a_{l+1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow a_r$  for many subarrays.
- Naive solution: Go through the whole subarray to calculate the result. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot q)$ , too slow!

ي و و و و و و

• **Observation:** When the right argument of an implication is 1, the result is always equal to 1. So, we can look only at the last 1 in the subarray and the following zeros.

 $a_l \to \ldots \to 1 \to \underbrace{0 \to \ldots \to 0}_{k \text{ zeros}}$ If k is even then the result equals 1.

if k is even then the result equals 1.

If k is odd then the result equals 0.

- Solution: For each position precompute the index of the last 1 appearing not after it. This way you can determine the number of zeros in the end of a subarray in O(1).
- Complexity:  $\mathcal{O}(n+q)$

Problem Author: Makar Kuleshov

- **Problem:** Calculate the value of the implication  $a_l \rightarrow a_{l+1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow a_r$  for many subarrays.
- Naive solution: Go through the whole subarray to calculate the result. Runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot q)$ , too slow!

سلي يعادر و و و الا

• **Observation:** When the right argument of an implication is 1, the result is always equal to 1. So, we can look only at the last 1 in the subarray and the following zeros.

 $a_I o \ldots o 1 o \underbrace{0 o \ldots o 0}_{k \text{ zeros}}$ 

If k is even then the result equals 1.

If k is odd then the result equals 0.

- Solution: For each position precompute the index of the last 1 appearing not after it. This way you can determine the number of zeros in the end of a subarray in O(1).
- Complexity:  $\mathcal{O}(n+q)$

Statistics: 76 submissions, 3 accepted, 33 unknown



• **Problem:** Escape from a  $w \times h$  grid jail where you can go up only if you have a ladder. Ladders can be carried to a different place on the same storey.

- **Problem:** Escape from a  $w \times h$  grid jail where you can go up only if you have a ladder. Ladders can be carried to a different place on the same storey.
- **Observation:** If we know to which holes a ladder can be carried, then for each cell, we know which cell we can move to.

- **Problem:** Escape from a  $w \times h$  grid jail where you can go up only if you have a ladder. Ladders can be carried to a different place on the same storey.
- **Observation:** If we know to which holes a ladder can be carried, then for each cell, we know which cell we can move to.
- Solution:
  - Using a for loop in both directions, determine which cells can access a ladder.

- **Problem:** Escape from a  $w \times h$  grid jail where you can go up only if you have a ladder. Ladders can be carried to a different place on the same storey.
- **Observation:** If we know to which holes a ladder can be carried, then for each cell, we know which cell we can move to.
- Solution:
  - Using a for loop in both directions, determine which cells can access a ladder.
  - Then we know for each cell to which cell we can move.
  - Hence, we can define a graph representing the grid.

- **Problem:** Escape from a  $w \times h$  grid jail where you can go up only if you have a ladder. Ladders can be carried to a different place on the same storey.
- **Observation:** If we know to which holes a ladder can be carried, then for each cell, we know which cell we can move to.
- Solution:
  - Using a for loop in both directions, determine which cells can access a ladder.
  - Then we know for each cell to which cell we can move.
  - Hence, we can define a graph representing the grid.
  - Determining whether a path exists from the starting cell to an exit can be done using O(wh) BFS/DFS.

- **Problem:** Escape from a  $w \times h$  grid jail where you can go up only if you have a ladder. Ladders can be carried to a different place on the same storey.
- **Observation:** If we know to which holes a ladder can be carried, then for each cell, we know which cell we can move to.
- Solution:
  - Using a for loop in both directions, determine which cells can access a ladder.
  - Then we know for each cell to which cell we can move.
  - Hence, we can define a graph representing the grid.
  - Determining whether a path exists from the starting cell to an exit can be done using O(wh) BFS/DFS.

Statistics: 18 submissions, 0 accepted, 16 unknown





• Notice that when a kangeroo jumps over the *n*-th segment, it jumps to  $x^2 \mod n$ .



- Notice that when a kangeroo jumps over the *n*-th segment, it jumps to  $x^2 \mod n$ .
- So after *i* jumps, the kangeroo is in segment  $x^{2^i} \mod n$ .

las Maria II.

- Notice that when a kangeroo jumps over the *n*-th segment, it jumps to  $x^2 \mod n$ .
- So after *i* jumps, the kangeroo is in segment  $x^{2^i} \mod n$ .
- Therefore we need to determine the first *i* such that  $x^{2^i} \equiv 1 \mod n$ .



• **Problem:** Determine the first *i* such that  $x^{2^i} \equiv 1 \mod n$ .



- **Problem:** Determine the first *i* such that  $x^{2^i} \equiv 1 \mod n$ .
- **Observation:** If  $gcd(x, n) \neq 1$ , then  $gcd(x, n) \mid x^{2^{i}} \mod n$ , so the kangeroo will never reach 1.

la bi i la



- **Problem:** Determine the first *i* such that  $x^{2^i} \equiv 1 \mod n$ .
- **Observation:** If  $gcd(x, n) \neq 1$ , then  $gcd(x, n) \mid x^{2^{i}} \mod n$ , so the kangeroo will never reach 1.

les Mere

• Otherwise,  $x^r \equiv 1 \mod n$  for some r. We call the smallest such r the order of x mod n.

- **Problem:** Determine the first *i* such that  $x^{2^i} \equiv 1 \mod n$ .
- **Observation:** If  $gcd(x, n) \neq 1$ , then  $gcd(x, n) \mid x^{2^{i}} \mod n$ , so the kangeroo will never reach 1.

las Maria I

- Otherwise,  $x^r \equiv 1 \mod n$  for some r. We call the smallest such r the order of x mod n.
- Notice that the powers of *x* repeat every *r*-th power:

$$1, x, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^{r-1}, x^r = 1, x^{r+1} = x, x^2, x^3, \dots$$


• **Problem:** What is the first *i* such that  $x^{2^i} \equiv 1 \mod n$ .

• Therefore, any *i* that satisfies  $x^{2^i} \equiv 1 \mod n$  also satisfies  $2^i \equiv 0 \mod r$ .



Problem Author: Leon van der Waal

- **Problem:** What is the first *i* such that  $x^{2^i} \equiv 1 \mod n$ .
- Therefore, any *i* that satisfies  $x^{2^i} \equiv 1 \mod n$  also satisfies  $2^i \equiv 0 \mod r$ .
- **Observation:** This means that r is a divisor of  $2^i$ , and thus  $r = 2^k$  for some k.

les Mere

- **Problem:** What is the first *i* such that  $x^{2^i} \equiv 1 \mod n$ .
- Therefore, any *i* that satisfies  $x^{2^i} \equiv 1 \mod n$  also satisfies  $2^i \equiv 0 \mod r$ .
- **Observation:** This means that r is a divisor of  $2^i$ , and thus  $r = 2^k$  for some k.

Les Mais

• Therefore, the answer to the problem is k.

- **Problem:** What is the first *i* such that  $x^{2^i} \equiv 1 \mod n$ .
- Therefore, any *i* that satisfies  $x^{2^i} \equiv 1 \mod n$  also satisfies  $2^i \equiv 0 \mod r$ .
- **Observation:** This means that r is a divisor of  $2^i$ , and thus  $r = 2^k$  for some k.

lin Main

- Therefore, the answer to the problem is k.
- Observation:  $r \leq n$ , so  $k \leq \log_2(n)$



• **Problem:** What is the first *i* such that  $x^{2^i} \equiv 1 \mod n$ .

• **Observation:**  $r \leq n$ , so  $k \leq \log_2(n)$ 

- **Problem:** What is the first *i* such that  $x^{2^i} \equiv 1 \mod n$ .
- **Observation:**  $r \leq n$ , so  $k \leq \log_2(n)$
- Solution: It therefore suffices to check the first log<sub>2</sub>(n) < 60 jumps. If the kangeroo has not reached segment 1 by then, it never will.</li>

las Mari I II

- **Problem:** What is the first *i* such that  $x^{2^i} \equiv 1 \mod n$ .
- **Observation:**  $r \leq n$ , so  $k \leq \log_2(n)$
- Solution: It therefore suffices to check the first log<sub>2</sub>(n) < 60 jumps. If the kangeroo has not reached segment 1 by then, it never will.</li>

ling billing i till

• **Complexity:**  $\mathcal{O}(q \log n)$ 

- **Problem:** What is the first *i* such that  $x^{2^i} \equiv 1 \mod n$ .
- **Observation:**  $r \leq n$ , so  $k \leq \log_2(n)$
- Solution: It therefore suffices to check the first log<sub>2</sub>(n) < 60 jumps. If the kangeroo has not reached segment 1 by then, it never will.</li>

las Mais III

• **Complexity:**  $\mathcal{O}(q \log n)$ 

Statistics: 49 submissions, 0 accepted, 29 unknown

Want to solve the problems you could not finish? Or have friends that like to solve algorithmic problems?

# https://fpcs2024.bapc.eu/

Friday 10 May 2024 13:00-17:00



## Jury work

• 447 commits (last year: 361)

# Jury work

- 447 commits (last year: 361)
- 357 secret test cases (last year: 339)

### Jury work

- 447 commits (last year: 361)
- 357 secret test cases (last year: 339)
- 120 accepted jury/proofreader solutions (last year: 96)

#### Jury work

- 447 commits (last year: 361)
- 357 secret test cases (last year: 339)
- 120 accepted jury/proofreader solutions (last year: 96)
- The minimum<sup>1</sup> number of lines the jury needed to solve all problems is

2 + 1 + 11 + 1 + 5 + 1 + 22 + 5 + 3 + 11 + 4 = 66

On average 6.0 lines per problem, down from 6.4 last year

#### Thanks to the proofreaders:

- Arnoud van der Leer (TU Delft)
- Daniel Cortild (RU Groningen)
- Davina van Meer (Delft)
- Henk van der Laan (TU Eindhoven)
- Michael Vasseur
  - (VU Amsterdam / DOMjudge)
- Mylène Martodihardjo (VU Amsterdam)
- Nicky Gerritsen
  - (TU Eindhoven / DOMjudge)

- Pavel Kunyavskiy (JetBrains Amsterdam, Kotlin Hero ♥)
- Ragnar Groot Koerkamp (ETH Zürich / NWERC jury)
- Rick Wouters (TU Eindhoven)
- Sièna van Schaick (Radboud Nijmegen)
- Thomas Verwoerd (TU Delft, Kotlin Hero ♥)
- Yoshi van den Akker (TU Delft)

# Thanks to the Jury for the Freshmen Programming Contests:

- Angel Karchev (TU Delft)
- Ivan Bliznets (RU Groningen)
- Jeroen Op de Beek (TU Delft)
- Leon van der Waal (TU Delft)
- Maarten Sijm (TU Delft)
- Maciek Sidor (VU Amsterdam)

- Makar Kuleshov (TU Delft)
- Mansur Nurmukhambetov (RU Groningen)
- Tymon Cichocki (TU Delft)
- Veselin Mitev (TU Delft)
- Vitor Greati (RU Groningen)
- Wietze Koops (Radboud Nijmegen / RU Groningen)
- Wiktor Cupiał (TU Delft)







